UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,279	10/23/2001	Geoffrey L McCabe		8248
GEOFFREY L	7590 09/12/2007 McCARF	EXAMINER		
8601 CRESCENT DR			LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90046			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	·	2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Caminer Content		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner							
Examination	Office Action Summary						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherson of airon-my to ambition under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eatherson of airon-my to ambition under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory miniman of thirty (30 days was be considered linely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory miniman of thirty (30 days was be considered linely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory miniman of thirty (30 days was be considered linely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory miniman of thirty (30 days was be considered linely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days are possible of the score in the period of the score in the replication is considered linely. A proper reviewed by the Office lister than three monety and the replication is considered linely. If the action is FINAL. 2 b) This action is replication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 36-39 104-107 109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 36-38, 93-104-107 109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 36-39, 91-104-107 109-111, 125-128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 36-39, 93-2112-123, 126 and 127 is/are allowed.	omoon our mary						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 HE MAILING The provide of the communication of the state of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 1 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication. 2 He period for reply septides do the set of the communication of the set of the communication. 3 He period for reply septides and set the thin the mainting date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce sity 3 He septiment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 3 He septiment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 3 He septiment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 4 Period in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 4 Disposition of Claims 4 He claim (s) 86.99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4 He period in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 5 Disposition of Claims 4 He claim (s) 99.91.11-112.13.16 and 125 is/are allowed. 5 He claim (s) 99.91.11-112.13.16 and 125 is/are allowed. 5 He claim (s) 99.91.11-112.13.16 and 125 is/are allowed. 5 He claim (s) 99.91.11-112.13.16 and 125 is/are allowed. 6 He claim (s) 97.99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8 He claim (s) 97.99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8 He claim (s) 97.99 and 129 is/are objected to. 9 He specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 He specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 He date of the set of the priority documents have been received. 2 He claim (s) 1 He claim (s) 1 He claim (s) 1 He claim (s) 1 He cla	The MAN INC DATE of this communication						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (8) MOXITIS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply using the standard of the communication of 17 CPR 1.136(a). In the communication of 17 CPR 1.136(a). In this communication of 18 CPR 1.136(a). The control of 18 CPR 1.136(a). The	Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2006. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 89-99.2.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-88, 9396.104-107.109-111.125.128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 89-99.2.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-88, 9396.104-107.109-111.125.128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 April 2006					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 89-92.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-88, 9396.104-107.109-111.125.128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,—					
4) Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 89-92.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-88, 93,-96.104-107.109-111.125.128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
4) Claim(s) 86-99.104-107.109-123 and 125-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 89-92.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-88, 93,-96.104-107.109-111.125.128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 89-92.112-123.126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 86-88.9396.104-107,109-111.125,128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 □ Notice of Draftsperso	· _	130 is/are pending in the applic	cation				
5) ☐ Claim(s) 89-92.112-123,126 and 127 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 86-88, 93,-96,104-107,109-111,125,128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
6) Claim(s) 86-88, 93,-96,104-107,109-111,125,128 and 130 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) 97-99 and 129 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u>20,720 ana 700</u> la/are rejected.	•				
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	nd/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Ale a Francisca				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		= : :	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of Ionn F 10-132.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			unlication No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			eceived				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	333 the attached detailed effice detail for a	not of the outlined copies not it	500170 4 .				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	م بریان میلاد ا	immon/PTO 412\				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			/Mail Date				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rearward surface (177) and the second end having an alternate string anchoring point formed therein below the surface of the body must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The second end having an alternate string anchoring point formed therein below the surface of the body is not found in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 93 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The second end having an alternate string anchoring point formed therein below the surface of the body is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

Art Unit: 2837

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 86-88, 94-96, 110, and 111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desmond and Storey.

Desmond discloses the use of a tuning apparatus for a stringed musical instrument comprising a body and a neck extending outwardly from the body, a plurality of strings extending from the body to the neck, a first critical point for each of the strings on the neck, a second critical point for each of the strings on the body (see figure 1) comprising a bridge element; an anchoring point for one of the strings on the neck (see figure 1); and a bridge element further comprising; an anchoring point for another end of the strings; and an alternate string anchoring point for each string (see figure 2).

Desmond does not disclose the use of a fulcrum tremolo.

Storey discloses the use of a bridge with a fulcrum tremolo. Story also discloses the use of a body, a fulcrum tremolo; a biasing element (24) comprising a first end connected to the fulcrum tremolo and a second end connected to the body (14); and at least one biasing element holder connected to the biasing element; and a singular apparatus connected to the fulcrum tremolo, the singular apparatus comprising a thumbwheel portion (44) operable to position the one biasing element holder, wherein rotation of the thumbwheel portion adjusts the equilibrium point between the tension of the strings and the tension of the biasing element to adjust the initial position.

Desmond and Storey do not disclose the specific shape of the spring as recited by the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

Art Unit: 2837

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tremolo device as disclosed by Desmond and spring as disclosed by Storey to include a "U shaped spring" since it has been held that the shape of a device was a matter of choice which a person or ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed device was significant, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. In re Dalley, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bridge as disclosed by Desmond with the fulcrum as disclosed by Storey in order to provide an adjustable element for each string.

7. Claims 104-107 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gressett, Jr. et al and Storey.

As the applicant's invention is best understood Gressett. Jr. et al discloses the use of tremolo comprising an intonation module with a forward portion and a rearward portion: the module comprising a base (15) with a string hole, a bridge element (18) connected to the base, the bridge element located closer to the forward end forming a second critical point; and wherein the rearward portion forms a string anchoring point closer to the base then the second critical point; and wherein the string anchoring point is located a conventional distance from the second critical point and operable to render a string essentially inextensible between the anchoring point and the second critical point (see figure 3).

Art Unit: 2837

Gressett does not disclose the use of a fulcrum tremolo.

Storey discloses the use of a bridge with a fulcrum tremolo.

Gressett and Storey does not disclose the specific use of a .25 inch distance.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the distance as claimed by the applicant since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bridge as disclosed by Gressett with the fulcrum tremolo as disclosed by Storey in order to provide an adjustable fine tuning system.

8. Claims 128 and 130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al in view of Storey.

Matsui discloses the use of a tremolo for a stringed musical instrument comprising a body and a neck, a plurality of strings extending from the body to the neck, a nut for supporting the strings on the neck forming a first critical point for each string wherein the fulcrum tremolo comprises a macro tuner: the macro tuner having a forward end closer the nut and a rearward end further the nut, the macro tuner comprising:

a base; a bridge element connected to the base located closer the forward end forming a second critical point an elongated portion (31) slideably connected to the

Art Unit: 2837

base; the elongated portion further comprising a string holder element, an adjustment screw connected to the base operable to position the elongated portion (see figure 2); the elongated member, the adjustment screw and the string holder located on the opposite side of the second critical point from the first critical point (see figure 1), wherein threading the adjustment screw is operable to position the string holder element to change tension of strings (column 2, lines 55-60)

Matsui does not disclose the use of a fulcrum tremolo.

Storey discloses the use of a bridge with a fulcrum tremolo. Story also discloses the use of a body, a fulcrum tremolo; a biasing element (24) comprising a first end connected to the fulcrum tremolo and a second end connected to the body (14); and at least one biasing element holder connected to the biasing element; and a singular apparatus connected to the fulcrum tremolo, the singular apparatus comprising a thumbwheel portion (44) operable to position the one biasing element holder, wherein rotation of the thumbwheel portion adjusts the equilibrium point between the tension of the strings and the tension of the biasing element to adjust the initial position; and the use of a threaded elongated portion connected to the singular apparatus (see figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bridge as disclosed by Matsui with the fulcrum tremolo as disclosed by Storey in order to harmonically tune the guitar.

9. Claim 125 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minakuchi and Storey.

Minakuchi discloses the use of a tremolo(2) for a stringed musical instrument comprising: at least one bridge element; and a unitary component (6) that is a single piece of bent material comprising (column 3, lines 15-20) a base plate (36) being approximately planar, comprising: a pivot forming a pivot axis; at least one bend in the base plate; at least one additional portion formed to receive at least a portion of at least one bearing assembly, wherein the at least one bend and the at least one additional portion have an axis approximately parallel to the pivot axis, and wherein the unitary component is connected to the at least one bridge element. (see figure 1).

Minakuchi does not disclose the use of a fulcrum tremolo.

Storey discloses the use of a bridge with a fulcrum tremolo. Story also discloses the use of a body, a fulcrum tremolo; a biasing element (24) comprising a first end connected to the fulcrum tremolo and a second end connected to the body (14); and at least one biasing element holder connected to the biasing element; and a singular apparatus connected to the fulcrum tremolo, the singular apparatus comprising a thumbwheel portion (44) operable to position the one biasing element holder, wherein rotation of the thumbwheel portion adjusts the equilibrium point between the tension of the strings and the tension of the biasing element to adjust the initial position; and the use of a threaded elongated portion connected to the singular apparatus (see figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bridge as disclosed by Minakuchi with the fulcrum tremolo as disclosed by Storey in order to lock a base at a specific position.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

Art Unit: 2837

8. Claims 97-99, 129 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 89-92, 112-123, 126, and 127 are allowed.0

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at

703-872-9306.

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls

should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone

number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at

www.uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (571)

272-2067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30

am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988

Page 9

PRIMARY EXAMINER